

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,499	02/26/2004	Tsukasa Yamada	04122/LH	9126
1933 759 EDISHALIF HOL		EXAMINER		
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue			MARTINEZ, JOSEPH P	
16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY	10001-7708		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW Tolde, NT 10001 7700			2873	
SUOPTENED STATITORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE			PAPER	
3 MONTHS 03/05/2007			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,499	YAMADA, TSUKASA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph P. Martinez	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	·		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-26-04, 12-6-05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/789,499

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully anticipated by Lee et al. (6857127).

Re claim 1, Lee et al. teaches for example in fig. 8A, 8B, 9A and 9B, an objective lens driving apparatus comprising a lens holder (20) provided with a plurality of coils (61, 62) and swingably supported by a suspension wire (70), and a magnet (50) for supplying a driving force to said lens holder by an electromagnetic action produced between said magnet and said coils (col. 3, ln. 7-14), wherein the center of said magnet in a height direction is located above the center of driving of said lens holder (fig. 8A, 8B, 9A and 9B).

Re claim 2, Lee et al. further teaches for example in fig. 8A, 8B, 9A and 9B, the center of said magnet in the height direction is located above both of CD and DVD reference positions of said lens holder (fig. 8A, 8B, 9A and 9B; wherein the office

Ápplication/Control Number: 10/789,499

Art Unit: 2873

interprets the reference position of both the CD and DVD to be driven to the extreme lower position, thereby teaching the claimed limitation).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (6857127).

Re claim 3, supra claim 2. Furthermore, Lee et al. further teaches for example in fig. 8A, 8B, 9A and 9B, a reference position is coincident with the center of driving of said lens holder (col. 1, ln. 20-25).

But, Lee et al. fails to explicitly teach a DVD reference position.

However, the office interprets the teachings of Lee et al. to provide a reference position for use with an optical disc (col. 1, ln. 20-25) and furthermore DVDs are well known in the art of optical discs.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lee et al. with the DVD

reference position in order to reduce the amount of energy for positional correction of the optical pickup for use with DVDs.

Re claim 4, supra claim 1. Furthermore, Le et al. further teaches for example in fig. 8A, 8B, 9A and 9B, a distance between the center of said magnet in the height direction and the center of driving of said lens holder.

But, Lee et al. fails to explicitly teach a range between 0.5mm and 0.7mm.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Lee et al. in order to provide an optical pickup that can work with varying thickness of optical discs.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph P. Martinez whose telephone number is 571-272-2335. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,499

Art Unit: 2873

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM 2-28-07

> Hung X. Dang Primary Examiner

TC 2800